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Increasing the Number of Council Members

The city council is currently composed of the mayor who is elected city-wide and eight council members elected from single-member districts. Each council member in Fort Worth represents approximately 101,000 citizens.

In Austin, Dallas, El Paso, and Oklahoma City, each council member represents fewer than 100,000 residents. In San Antonio, however, each of their ten council members represents more than 140,000.

- Would increasing the number of single-member districts, perhaps from eight to ten, provide all Fort Worth residents with better representation?

- Would increasing the number of districts provide minority groups, such as Hispanics and African-Americans, with better representation?
Terms of Office

Fort Worth’s mayor and city council members currently serve two-year terms and are elected in odd-numbered years.

Council members in Dallas and San Antonio also serve for two years. Council members serve for three years in Austin and Charlotte, and for four years in El Paso, Kansas City, and Oklahoma City.

- Could longer terms increase the effectiveness of the mayor and council members?

- Would longer terms in any way reduce their accountability?

- Should the cost of holding elections, i.e. up to approximately $550,000 per municipal election, be a significant factor in this decision?
Staggered Terms

Fort Worth’s mayor and city council now serve concurrent two-year terms. Dallas, San Antonio, Charlotte, and Kansas City also have concurrent terms, while Austin, El Paso, and Oklahoma City stagger their terms.

- Would staggered terms provide the city council with greater continuity and stability?

- It is important to limit the amount of turnover that could occur in any city council election?

- Is it important to elect the mayor and all council members at the same time?

- Do the terms of office, i.e. two years versus three or four years, affect the importance of staggering those terms?

- Should the cost of holding elections be a significant factor in this decision?

- How would we transition to a system of staggered terms?
Compensation

The mayor is currently paid $29,000 per year and the council members are paid $25,000, but the time demands on the mayor and council members have increased over the years as the city has grown in size and complexity.

In comparable cities, salaries range from $24,000 for the mayor and $12,000 for council members in Oklahoma City to more than $123,000 for the mayor and $61,000 for council members in Kansas City.

- Should serving as Fort Worth’s mayor be a full-time job?
- Should serving as a council member be a full-time job?
- Is it important to consider the time demands of the position when setting compensation?
- Is it important to consider the city’s budget?
- Would increasing the compensation allow more citizens an opportunity to serve in public office?
Conflicts of Interest

The city charter currently provides that no elected official or employee shall have a direct or indirect financial interest in a contract with the city. For example, if you work for the city, your spouse may not enter into a contract to provide goods or services to the City. Similar language appears in the charters of most comparable cities.

- Is it important to retain this provision in the city charter?

- Is this provision sufficiently clear and understandable?

- Could this provision from time to time prevent the city from entering into beneficial contracts?