Introduction

A multi-pronged public engagement strategy guided the development of the Fort Worth Active Transportation Plan (ATP). Public engagement efforts included stakeholder meetings, interviews with stakeholders, three rounds of public meetings, public events and outreach, two interactive online surveys, and presentations to boards and commissions.

Initial stakeholder and public input focused on existing conditions, priorities, challenges, and opportunities. Public engagement later in the planning process included detailed discussions around projects, policies, and priorities. Stakeholder and public input influenced the ATP by informing the development of the network, project prioritization, and policy recommendations. See page 6 for a graphic describing the full Active Transportation Plan project process and timeline.

This appendix summarizes:
• Stakeholder meetings (page 2)
• Public meetings (page 3)
• Interactive map surveys (page 5)
• Findings (page 7)
Stakeholder Meetings

At the beginning of the project, an Active Transportation Stakeholder Committee was formed to provide guidance and input throughout the planning process. Five stakeholder meetings were held over the course of 13 months. Stakeholders represented a range of organizations in Fort Worth including school districts, higher education, health agencies and organizations, boards and commissions, advocacy organizations, and community groups. In addition to meeting with the City of Fort Worth stakeholder group, staff from neighboring jurisdictions were engaged to coordinate on trail and bicycle facility connections between communities. See list of partner agencies on page 16.

Stakeholders provided valuable input on the network methodology, the draft networks, and project prioritization. Throughout the project, stakeholders emphasized the importance of accessibility for people with disabilities, and of improving intersections, transit connections, and access to schools.

As an example of technical feedback provided, stakeholders gave information on ways to apply and refine the methodology used to evaluate the pedestrian environment, called the Pedestrian Experience Index (PEI). Specifically, stakeholders recommended that the PEI measure different areas of the city differently based on their density. As a result of this feedback, the PEI scoring methodology was tailored for high-density areas to measure some additional factors that were not applied to less dense parts of the city. See Chapter 3 of the ATP for more information on the PEI.

Stakeholder Interviews

The following entities participated in stakeholder interviews:

Transportation Providers
- Trinity Metro
- Fort Worth Bike Sharing

Aging and Disability
- City of Fort Worth ADA Coordinator

Trails
- Park & Recreation
- Tarrant Regional Water District
- Streams and Valleys

Safety
- Trinity River Vision Authority (TRVA)
- Fort Worth Police Department
- Fort Worth Safe Communities Coalition

Public Health
- FitWorth
- Tarrant County Public Health
- Blue Zones

Development Community
- Dunaway
- Greater Fort Worth Association of Realtors
- North Fort Worth Alliance
- Real Estate Council of Greater Fort Worth

Bicycle Advocates
- Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Commission
- Clear Fork Bicycle Club

Central City
- Near South Side
- Downtown Fort Worth, Inc. (DFWI)

Education
- Texas Christian University (TCU)
- TCU Public Health
- University of North Texas Health Science Center
- Eagle Mountain ISD
- Tarrant County College (TCC)

State and County Agencies
- Texas Department of Transportation
- Tarrant County
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Public Meetings

March 2018

The initial round of four public meetings in late March 2018 introduced the public to the ATP and solicited input on existing conditions and the community’s vision for bicycling and walking in Fort Worth. Community members identified the most pressing active transportation needs, the most common walking and bicycling trip purposes, and the most common destinations for walking and bicycling trips.

September/October 2018

Public meetings and community outreach events were held in September and October of 2018. The plan’s purpose, schedule, existing conditions review, pedestrian, trails, and bikeway network recommendations, and next steps were presented for feedback. Input on the draft network and network priorities was incorporated in the network. Stakeholder comments on community priorities, which were solicited through an interactive activity, influenced the weight assigned to different factors in the prioritization process.

Figure 4. Attendees provide feedback on the draft active transportation network at the September 25th public meeting at the Fort Worth Central Library. (Photo credit: City of Fort Worth (left); Toole Design (right))

Figure 3. NCTCOG staff works with a stakeholder to solicit feedback on an interactive map survey. (Photo credit: City of Fort Worth)
January 2019

The final round of four public meetings occurred in January 2019. Plan maps, policies, and performance measures were presented to the public. An overview presentation summarized existing conditions research, public engagement, the active transportation network, and draft project prioritization and costs. The public provided input on the policies and network maps and engaged in conversation with the project staff.

Figure 6. Stakeholders at the Northwest Branch Library provide input on the ATP. (Photo credit: City of Fort Worth)
Interactive Map Surveys

Two interactive map surveys were used during the ATP planning process. The first gathered information on existing conditions and the second on priority areas for improvement. For the first map, the ATP and Streams and Valley’s Confluence Plan planning teams collaborated on a single interactive map survey to gather information from the public. This strategy was deployed to reduce “survey fatigue” from the public. In coordination with Trinity Metro, notices were posted on buses in May of 2018 to promote use of the interactive map. The interactive map survey was also promoted on social media. It was active between March and June of 2018 and received over 1,000 responses. The results were used to identify desired routes and specific barriers to be addressed in the ATP network. See Chapter 3 of the ATP for more information on how the network was developed using this and other input.

The second interactive survey map allowed users to identify areas on the draft network they consider to be high priority for walking, bicycling, trail, or transit access improvements. It was active from September to November 2018. The results of this map survey were incorporated into the project prioritization process as part of the stakeholder input factor. See Chapter 4 of the ATP for more information on project prioritization.
Active Transportation Plan Project Process and Timeline

1. Stakeholder Engagement
   - Stakeholder Meeting
   - Stakeholder Meeting
   - Stakeholder Meeting

2. Existing Conditions
   - Plan Review
   - Data Analysis
   - Mapping
   - Interactive Map
   - Stakeholder Interviews
   - Public Input

3. Network Development
   - Existing Plans and Documents
   - Citywide Statistics and Trends
   - Existing Conditions Maps and Demand Analysis
   - Stakeholder and Public Input Summary
   - Level of Traffic Stress
   - Pedestrian Experience Index
   - Draft Pedestrian, Trail, and Bicycle Networks
   - Refine Networks
   - Network Concept Memo
   - Review Networks
   - Interactive Public Map
   - Final Draft Networks

4. Action Plan
   - Draft Policy Recommendations
   - Public Input on Prioritization Criteria
   - Stakeholder Review of Prioritization Criteria
   - Prioritize Projects
   - Drafts
   - Revised Draft
   - Stakeholder Presentations
   - Briefings and Meetings

5. Draft Plan
   - Plan and Guide Outlines
   - Drafts
   - Council Hearing / Adoption

Figure 10. The development of the ATP included several major elements over the course of 15 months.
Findings
Stakeholder Interview Summary

The following are summary findings of the stakeholder interviews conducted January through March of 2018.

What is the biggest strength related to walking and biking in Fort Worth?
Stakeholders identified downtown, the trail system, and mayoral support as the City’s biggest strengths related to bicycling and walking. They noted that downtown has walkable areas, such as Sundance Square, and that it is well-served by bike share and transit. The 10th Street cycle track was mentioned as an example of a good connection to the Trinity Trails. Stakeholders noted the City’s high-quality trails and parks, including Trinity Trails, Trinity Park, Bluestem Park at Alliance Town Center, and Heritage Trace. They recognized the generally good connectivity of the trail system, and the fact that most sections of existing trail are flat and thus appealing to a variety of users. A consistent theme in the feedback was that there is a need to improve access to the trails. Stakeholders stated that the mayor is very supportive of active transportation in Fort Worth, citing the walking and biking Town Hall meetings. The Urban Villages program was mentioned as a good framework for investments in livability.

What are some of the biggest challenges to walking and biking—and improving conditions—in Fort Worth?
One of the biggest challenges related to walking and bicycling noted by stakeholders was the lack of dedicated funding and the high cost of infrastructure and maintenance. Stakeholders also highlighted the lack of access for people with disabilities, including missing curb ramps on older sidewalks and insufficient sidewalk width for people using larger power chairs and mobility devices. They discussed challenges related to the complicated organizational structures within agencies and the difficulty of coordinating between agencies and initiatives. There was concern that the public does not know how to route complaints effectively, and a suggestion to combine this process with the existing systems for logging complaints about pot holes and street sweeping. It was suggested that a formal city position of Bicycle and Pedestrian Coordinator would help with these organizational challenges, and that clarity is needed around who is ultimately responsible for overseeing implementation of the ATP.

There was significant discussion about the design and connectivity of facilities. Fragmented sections of trail were noted as a barrier to people making complete trips—from origin to destination—on the trail system. Stakeholders also highlighted the need to make sure new sidewalks, trails and bikeways built by developers connect to existing facilities. They noted that many existing trails are eight feet wide, which is insufficient, and that design strategies are needed to slow bicyclists in areas with a heavy mix of pedestrians and bicyclists. It was stated that, when trees are used to provide shade on sidewalks and trails, there needs to be a long-term maintenance plan to maintain the canopy.

Another theme from the stakeholder discussions was the challenge of building an active transportation network that works for everyone, including families. Stakeholders noted that families want separation from traffic and avoid difficult intersection crossings. Bellaire Drive South was mentioned as an example of a bike lane that is welcoming to a broader range of riders. The method used to rate ski slopes from beginner to advanced was mentioned as a model to consider for bicycling facilities.

The long distance between destinations was highlighted as a challenge to bicycling and walking in Fort Worth. The discussion centered on the need to continue building more mixed-use, walkable development that is connected by trails, sidewalks,
and bicycle facilities. There was a recognition that this will be a long-term change that will require a regional approach, so that walkable “nodes” of development are not isolated from one another.

The last theme in the challenges identified by stakeholders was the need for more education and enforcement. Some stakeholders felt that there is a negative stigma associated with bicycling and using trails, and a need for greater awareness amongst the public about the presence and benefits of bicycling and trails. They suggested the development of a mobile phone application that consolidates educational information on biking and walking. They also flagged the lack of enforcement as an issue, specifically related to crosswalk use by pedestrians and yielding by drivers. Stakeholders suggested that one possible solution was better placement of crosswalks, to shorten the distance between crossings and better connect to destinations.

**What are the biggest opportunities for Active Transportation in Fort Worth?**

The stakeholders identified a wide range of opportunities related to improving biking and walking in Fort Worth. There was discussion about the need to understand and plan for all types of trips - including commuting, errands and other utilitarian trips, and recreation/fitness. Similarly, stakeholders discussed the different needs that exist in different parts of the city (e.g., in suburbs, there may be more children walking/biking and more fitness/recreational riding). They highlighted the need to plan for the future, considering potential changes in federal funding, demographics, and technologies (i.e., autonomous vehicles).

Prioritization and funding were recurring themes in the discussion. Stakeholders expressed a desire to prioritize “low hanging fruit” projects, those that connect neighborhoods, and linear corridors along rail lines, utilities and rivers. They suggested that the city should fund partnerships with smaller local organizations to support implementation, maintenance, and outreach.

Stakeholders recommended a focus on incentives to encourage developers to provide facilities, and the use of tax incentive packages as an opportunity to require more from developers related to active transportation improvements.

Transit and bike share were important areas of opportunity identified by stakeholders. They suggested that the city should do a brochure to explain critical elements of transit stop design and transit access. They also noted the importance of maintaining pedestrian access to transit, especially for people using wheelchairs, during construction. Related to bike share, the conversation focused on the need to make the system accessible to lower income people.

Stakeholders flagged the opportunity to improve data collection, especially related to crash data. Last, they noted the importance of improved coordination and communication between the entities and departments involved in active transportation, and with other parallel planning/policy efforts (e.g., Blue Zones).
Public Engagement Findings

The following is a summary of findings from the ATP public engagement process.

Community Vision

Members of the community shared their vision for walking and bicycling in Fort Worth. Participants emphasized safe routes to schools, connected networks, sidewalks near transit and connecting to neighborhoods, accessibility improvements, and connections to daily destinations within and between neighborhoods.

The following comments were received during public meetings and describe the community’s vision for the City’s active transportation system. These notes from the public meeting board were paraphrased and organized into broad themes. When a comment was received several times, this is indicated by a number in parentheses. Figure 12 shows a snapshot of the activity board used to solicit this input at public meetings.

Safety/Safe Routes to School

- Safer routes to schools for kids (x9)
- Safer routes for children via sidewalks and trails
- Safe crossings

Connectivity/Infrastructure

- Vision: hop on my bike to the nearest bus stop via local trails, bus to work and utilize my bike & bus/train to get to various meetings around the city safely and efficiently
- Vision: connect all neighborhoods via sidewalks and trails to main rivers/greenways trail system and to parks and community centers
- My vision is to be able to walk anywhere in the city and feel like you are “somewhere” and connected
- My vision is to be able to get around to various types of activity (eat, work, shop)
- I want the bike network to connect trails, neighborhood parks, and school zones, 25 MPH zones, and urban villages
- More sidewalks and trail systems for our community (x2)
- Wider sidewalks and buffers from traffic (x2)
- Designated bike lanes on streets
- Low traffic low speeds for Minimum Grid bike network

Figure 11. Public meeting participants provided input on their visions for walking and biking in Fort Worth.
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Connections to Transit
- I would like to see safe routes and more access to transit for a healthy lifestyle
- Improve sidewalks near transit, especially back into neighborhoods
- Focus walking improvements near transit stations
- Connected trails and transit
- Safe Routes to Transit—visible bus stops, visible bus benches
- More bus shelters
- High frequency transit corridors in all of Fort Worth/A robust transit system
- A Blue Zones Transit Pass pilot program
- Measure how transit (and active transportation planning) increases physical activity
- Connect suburban Fort Worth to transit

Accessibility
- Time signals for accessible street crossings
- More accessible parking
- Create ADA Accessibility Districts
- Wider sidewalks for people with disabilities
- Update current sidewalks that are not ADA accessible (x2)
- ADA transition plan—incorporate into the Active Transportation Plan
- Navigating (walking and bicycling) safely through roundabouts (including wheelchairs and all disabilities, such as visually impaired)

Intersections/Barriers
- Better connectivity across barriers
- Audible pedestrian systems/signals
- Provide pedestrian signal indicators
- Uniform corner design
- All way stoplights at complex intersections e.g., Camp Bowie at West 7th area

Trails
- Connected trails
- Trails along busy roads for children and families
- More trails for recreational use around Fort Worth
- Lake Worth Trail (x2)

Addressing Dense Areas
- Focus efforts on the urban core to truly create urban neighborhood districts that provide those looking to live, work, play to have easy walkability and bike options supporting retail, restaurants, urban living, and economic development
- Increasing walkability in urban core districts creating an active outdoor lifestyle
- High density areas need separated bicycle facilities
- Focus on urban neighborhoods first: near southside, west side, Panther Island, Downtown
- Increasing accessible and affordable housing (x2) in areas with high walk score and transit score
- I think we need to think about people who live outside the urban center, but maybe want to come to Fort Worth for the day and use active transportation to get around
- A transportation plan that helps connect cities without public transportation

- Trail to Dallas
- Wildflower bluebonnet trail system, local tourism
- An extension of the biking trail past Bryant Irvin
- A separate bike and running trail along the Trinity River
- Comprehensive map of trail system as it exists, what is proposed (with colors representing priorities for order of completion)
Education/Programs/Policy

- Promote cyclist education
- Different facilities for faster cyclists
- Reduced residential speed limits
- Reducing parking requirements
- Use NACTO or CNU approach to trip generation and parking requirements instead of ITE’s
- Attracting millennials is key to Fort Worth growth and prosperity
- Design for multimodal level of service
- Don’t design for car traffic flow/Design to reduce car trips
- Can you change the site development review process to optimize pedestrian access instead of traffic flow?
- Robust, price-adjusted network of ride-share or other non-fixed route solutions to personal transit and/or mode access

ATP Vision

The following statement presents the ATP Vision Statement that was ultimately developed based on public and stakeholder input:

The Fort Worth Active Transportation Plan aims to create a regionally coordinated and locally connected bicycle and pedestrian system that provides a safe, comfortable, accessible, and equitable network of trails, sidewalks, and on-street bicycle facilities for people of all ages and abilities that encourages a healthy lifestyle, economic development, and increases community awareness and funding for alternative modes of transportation.

Focus efforts on the urban core to truly create urban neighborhood districts that provide those looking to live, work, play to have easy walkability and bike options supporting retail, restaurants, urban living, and economic development.

Vision: hop on my bike to the nearest bus stop via local trails, bus to work and utilize my bike and bus/train to get to various meetings around the city safely and efficiently.

I would like to see safe routes and more access to transit for a healthy lifestyle.

My vision is to be able to walk anywhere in the city and feel like you are ‘somewhere’ and connected.

Figure 12. Direct quotes responding to “What is your vision for walking and bicycling in Fort Worth?”
Desired Destinations for Walking and Bicycling

Participants indicated the types of destinations to which they would like to walk and bike (Figure 16). The results show that active transportation can serve several purposes beyond commuting to work. Participants indicated a desire for connections to a wide range of destinations, often around the neighborhoods where they live.

Community Priorities

Meeting participants indicated that new sidewalks, safer intersections, new trails, and more connections to existing trails were the most important priorities for the ATP (Figure 17).

Typical Trip Distance

Planning for active transportation requires understanding how far people are generally willing to travel by foot, by wheelchair, and by bike, varying by trip purpose. Most walking trips are short (fewer than three miles). Recreational bicycling trips can be quite long, exceeding five miles and reaching several dozen miles, depending on the rider. Bicycling trips for transportation (such as running errands or traveling to work) tend to be shorter, i.e., five miles or fewer.
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INTERACTIVE MAP SURVEYS

Figure 15. Public responses to "What types of destinations would you like to walk and bicycle to?"

Figure 16. Public responses to "What are your top priorities for active transportation improvements?"
The following Word Clouds summarize the most frequently occurring words used by stakeholders during interviews.

Figure 17. Stakeholder responses to “What is Fort Worth’s biggest strength related to walking and bicycling?”

Figure 18. Stakeholder responses to “What are the biggest challenges for walking and bicycling in Fort Worth?”

Figure 19. Stakeholder responses to “What are the biggest opportunities for walking and bicycling in Fort Worth?”
### Partner Agencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aledo Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Association of Retired Persons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area Agency on Aging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azle Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Friendly Fort Worth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Zones Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burleson Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castleberry Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central City Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Fork Bicycle Club</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowley Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural District Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development Advisory Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Fort Worth Inc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle Mountain Saginaw Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everman Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FitWorth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Bike Sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth League of Neighborhoods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Worth Safe Communities Coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Fort Worth Association of Realtors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater Fort Worth Builders Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurst Euless Bedford Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keller Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Worth Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor’s Committee On Persons With Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedStar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health Mental Retardation/Tarrant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near South Side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Fort Worth Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park &amp; Recreation Advisory Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian and Bicycle Advisory Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate Council of Greater Fort Worth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sixty and Better</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SteerFW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streams and Valleys, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant County Community College</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant County Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant Regional Water District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant Transit Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Christian University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Wesleyan University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinity Metro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinity River Vision Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TxDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Texas Health Sciences Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Settlement Independent School District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMCA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>